

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

RECEIVED

- EDERAL ELECTION

COMMISSION

CECCETY PIAT

2000 JUL 20 P 3:51

July 20, 2000

AGENDALTEM For Meeting of: 7-21-00

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Commission

THROUGH:

James A. Pehrkon

Staff Director

FROM:

Lawrence M. Noble,

General Counsel /

N. Bradley Litchfield

Associate General Counsel

Subject:

Draft AO 2000-17

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for July 27, 2000.

Attachment

ADVISORY OPINION 2000-17

1 2

3 Joseph A. Rieser, Jr.

4 Reed Smith Shaw & McClay LLP

5 1301 K Street, NW

6 Suite I 100--East Tower

Washington, DC 20005-3317

7 8 9

Dear Mr. Rieser:

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

This responds to your letter dated June 9, 2000, on behalf of Extendicare Health Services, Inc. ("Extendicare"), requesting an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and Commission regulations to the formation and administration of a separate segregated fund ("SSF" or "PAC") by a domestic subsidiary corporation that is wholly-owned by a foreign parent corporation.

DRAFT

Extendicare is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and it is wholly owned by Extendicare, Inc., a Canadian corporation with its principal place of business in Ontario, Canada. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, which are all United States entities, Extendicare operates 237 nursing and assisted living and retirement centers in 14 States with more than 25,000 people employed by either Extendicare or its domestic subsidiaries. Extendicare provides home office management and administrative services for its operating subsidiaries that operate the cited nursing and retirement centers.

Factual background

Extendicare's board of directors has changed from time to time in both size and composition over the years. Currently the board is comprised of three individuals, only

Extendicare is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Extendicare Holdings, Inc. ("Holdings"), a Wisconsin corporation with its principal place of business in Milwaukee. Holdings serves as the ultimate U.S. parent corporation, but its role is limited to that of a holding company: it has no operating assets and performs no management or administrative services. Holdings is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Extendicare International, Inc., a Canadian corporation, which, in turn, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Extendicare, Inc., the top level parent corporation. The stock of this parent Canadian corporation is traded on the Toronto and New York Stock Exchanges.

Extendicare's 1999 consolidated revenues from USA operations were over \$967 million, although its consolidated positive cash flow from operations that year was less than \$19 million. It had a consolidated loss for 1999, but had earnings in each of the preceding four years exceeding \$25.5 million.

one of whom is a US citizen. The other two are Canadian citizens who are not lawfully 1 admitted for permanent residence in the United States, although they are lawfully 2 admitted to the United States for both residence and work purposes. One of the Canadian 3 directors is chair of the Extendicare board and is also deputy chair and CEO of the parent 4 Canadian corporation. The other Canadian director is CEO of Extendicare and president 5 of the parent Canadian corporation. The US citizen director is also the chief finance 6 officer of both Extendicare and the parent Canadian corporation. The Extendicare 7 president (not a board member) is a Canadian citizen who is not lawfully admitted for 8 permanent residence in the United States. Of the remaining officers, a number are foreign 9 nationals who do not have permanent resident alien status, and a number are U.S. 10 citizens. In Extendicare's top management structure, there are board-elected officers, 11 vice presidents who are not board-elected, and senior managers (with various titles and 12 designations), hereinafter referred to as the "senior management team." Presently, the 13 overwhelming majority of Extendicare's senior management team are U.S. citizens. One 14 such official is Extendicare's vice president of government relations who is a United 15 16 States citizen. This vice president seeks Commission advice regarding whether Extendicare may 17 establish and administer an SSF under the Act and Commission regulations that would 18 solicit contributions from the eligible personnel of Extendicare and its subsidiaries who 19 may lawfully make such contributions. The proposed SSF would make contributions to 20 candidates for Federal and, perhaps (to the extent permitted under applicable State laws), 21 State and local offices. In view of the foreign national status of two (out of three) 22 members of Extendicare's board and given that its parent corporation is a foreign 23 national, an approach for determining whether to establish an SSF is described. A plan of 24 operation for an SSF is also described in the event that one is formed. 25

Special Committee proposal

26

27

28

29

30

The request states and explains that Extendicare's board would establish a special committee (the "Special Committee"). This Special Committee would be comprised only of individuals who are U.S. citizens or permanent resident aliens residing in the United States. The Special Committee would be charged with the authority and responsibility to

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 1 determine whether it would be desirable and appropriate for Extendicare to establish an
- 2 SSF under 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(2). If it should determine that it would be desirable and
- 3 appropriate for Extendicare to establish such an SSF, the Special Committee would also
- 4 have the authority and responsibility to determine what individual or individuals (the
- 5 "PAC Committee") would administer the fund. Subject to the requirement that the
- 6 members of the PAC Committee both be employees of Extendicare or its subsidiaries and
- 7 be U.S. citizens or permanent resident aliens residing in the United States, the Special
- 8 Committee would have complete discretion in determining the size and composition of
- 9 the PAC Committee. In particular, the Special Committee would not be required to
- 10 appoint to the PAC Committee only those individuals who were members of the Special
- 11 Committee; it would have the authority to appoint those individuals whom it deemed
- best, regardless of whether or not they were members of the Special Committee. To the
- extent that the PAC Committee needs by-laws by which to govern itself, the Special
- 14 Committee would expect to have the authority to approve and amend them.

The request further explains that the PAC Committee would have the complete authority to administer the SSF, without review or approval by the Special Committee or by Extendicare's board of directors. That is, it would decide: what individuals among Extendicare's (and its subsidiaries') work force would be solicited for contributions to the fund and when to solicit such contributions; to whom to make contributions and when to make them; and what expenditures to make from the fund and when to make them.

According to the request, Extendicare's by-laws presently allow the board to designate committees, to be comprised of one or more directors. The by-laws provide that, to the extent permitted by law and provided by the resolution establishing the committee, the committee shall have and may exercise all of the powers of the board in the management of the business and affairs of the corporation. The by-laws currently are silent with respect to whether individuals who are not directors may serve on such committees.

To the extent that Extendicare's board includes U.S. citizens or permanent resident aliens residing in the United States, it would be anticipated (but not necessarily foreordained) that all or some of such individuals would be appointed to serve on the

- 1 Special Committee. However, because the size and composition of the board changes
- 2 from time to time, it cannot be predicted in advance whether the board would always
- 3 have at least one such individual on it. As a result, in order to assure that a Special
- 4 Committee could always be constituted, it is proposed that Extendicare's by-laws would
- 5 be specifically amended both to permit the board of directors to appoint the Special
- 6 Committee and to provide that such Special Committee may or may not include members
- 7 of the board of directors, as the board sees fit. Such an amendment to the by-laws will
- 8 require the approval of either Extendicare's board or its shareholders.

Opinion format

9

10

П

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Given this factual background and the described circumstances, Extendicare asks ten questions concerning the proposal to form and operate a PAC. Questions one through seven are set forth with some editing of the actual phrasing as used in the request.

Questions eight through ten are substantially revised and consolidated since they present the same legal issue. To facilitate the Commission's response, the basic statutory and

15 regulatory provisions are stated initially and then applied to answer each question.

Act and Commission regulations

The Act and Commission regulations prohibit a foreign national from making a contribution, directly or through any other person, or an expenditure in connection with an election to any political office.³ In addition, it is unlawful to solicit, accept, or receive a contribution from a foreign national. 2 U.S.C. §441e(a); 11 CFR 110.4(a)(1) and (2). As defined in the Act, the term "person" includes a corporation. 2 U.S.C. §431(11).

The term "foreign national" includes a "foreign principal" as defined by 22 U.S.C. §611(b), but does not include any citizen of the United States. 2 U.S.C. §441e(b)(1). Section 611(b) defines a "foreign principal" to include:

- (1) a government of a foreign country and a foreign political party;
- (2) a person outside of the United States, unless it is established that such person is an individual and a citizen of and domiciled within the United

³ Unlike most of the other provisions of the Act, section 441e applies to any election for any political office, including state and local as well as Federal offices. *United States v. Kanchanalak*, 192 F.3d 1037, 1044 (D.C. Cir. 1999) [concluding that Commission interpretations of 2 U.S.C. §441e in both its regulations and an advisory opinion have "consistently interpreted §441e as applicable to federal, state, and local elections since 1976."]

States, or that such person is not an individual and is organized under or created by the laws of the United States or of any State or other place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and has its principal place of business within the United States; and

(3) a partnership, association, corporation, organization, or other combination of persons organized under the laws of or having its principal place of business in a foreign country.

Accordingly, under §611(b)(2) and (3), a corporation organized under the laws of any State within the United States that has its principal place of business in the United States is not a foreign principal. Therefore, it follows that such a corporation would not be a foreign national under 2 U.S.C. §441e. The term "foreign national" also includes an individual who is not a citizen of the United States and who is not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the U.S. as defined by 8 U.S.C. §1101(a)(20). 2 U.S.C. §441e(b)(2).

Commission regulations, at 11 CFR 110.4(a)(1)--(4), implement the foreign national prohibition, explaining its broad scope and barring foreign national participation in certain election-related activities:

- (a) Contributions or expenditures by foreign nationals.
- (1) A foreign national shall not directly or through any other person make a contribution, or an expenditure, or expressly or impliedly promise to make a contribution, or an expenditure, in connection with a convention, a caucus, or a primary, general, special, or runoff election in connection with any local, State, or Federal public office.
- (2) No person shall solicit, accept, or receive a contribution as set out above from a foreign national.
- (3) A foreign national shall not direct, dictate, control, or directly or indirectly participate in the decision-making process of any person, such as a corporation, labor organization, or political committee, with regard to such person's Federal or nonfederal election-related activities, such as decisions concerning the making of contributions or expenditures in connection with elections for any local, State, or Federal office or decisions concerning the administration of a political committee.
- (4) For purposes of this section, foreign national means --
- (i) A foreign principal, as defined in 22 U.S.C. 611(b); or
- (ii) An individual who is not a citizen of the United States and who is not lawfully admitted for permanent residence, as defined in 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(20);
- (iii) Except that foreign national shall not include any individual who is a citizen of the United States.

1	The Commission has applied section 110.4(a)(3) in past advisory opinions that
2	considered factual situations and circumstances similar to those presented here. For
3	example, in Advisory Opinion 1995-15, the Commission approved a PAC's operating
4	structure where its sponsoring domestic corporation was about to be acquired by a foreign
5	corporation. The attributes of the PAC's future composition included that all its members
6	and officers would be US citizens. Citing this fact among others, along with prior
7	advisory opinions, the Commission concluded that: "after the company is acquired by a
8	foreign corporation, foreign nationals will not direct, control, or otherwise participate
9	directly or indirectly in the decision-making process of the PAC, including the
10	administration of or contributions by the PAC." Advisory Opinion 1995-15. In other
11	opinions applying the cited regulations, the Commission has emphasized the requirement
12	that foreign nationals, who are either on the corporate board or hold other positions with
13	the corporation, may not vote on the selection of individuals who would operate the PAC
14	or exercise decision-making authority with respect to contributions and expenditures by
15	the PAC, or by the domestic corporation itself in non-federal elections. Advisory
16	Opinions 1992-16, and 1990-8; see also Advisory Opinion 1989-29 [same emphasis in
17	opinion issued prior to adoption of 11 CFR 110.4(a)(3) where non-federal PAC was
18	funded with corporate treasury moneys and proposed to make contributions only in non-
19	federal elections subject to State law]. Recently, in Advisory Opinion 1999-28, the
20	Commission indicated that the conclusions and guidance within these opinions have
21	continued relevance to the operations of an SSF established by the United States
22	subsidiary of a foreign national parent corporation. Given the cited regulations as applied
23	in the cited opinions, and subject to the responses to the questions set forth below,
24	Extendicare may establish and function as the connected organization for a PAC.
25	Ouestions and responses

Questions and responses

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

- (1) Extendicare requests advice as to whether it is necessary to delegate to the Special Committee the decision whether or not to establish a separate segregated fund, and whether it is permissible under the Act for the board to make that decision, provided that it delegates to the Special Committee the authority to select the members of the PAC Committee.
- (2) As long as at least one member of Extendicare's board of directors is a U.S. citizen or a permanent resident alien residing in the United States, would it be

permissible if the Special Committee established by the board consisted only of such director or directors?

(3) May the board also appoint non-directors to the Special Committee? If so, must any other members of the Special Committee be board elected officers of Extendicare? May non-board elected officers be appointed? May non-officer employees be appointed?

The board itself may make the general corporate policy decision to establish an SSF. However, it must delegate all other decisions, such as personnel selection and administration of the SSF, to the Special Committee or to some other corporate personnel group comprised exclusively of United States citizens or individuals lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States. Such a delegation could also be made to one director, officer or other executive of Extendicare who is not a foreign national. If the board itself was comprised solely of foreign nationals, this required delegation must give exclusive power to the delegatee to select the personnel who will be members of the Special Committee or other similar group.

Commission regulations would not bar the board's appointment of non-directors, non-board elected officers or non-officer employees to the Special Committee, but such appointments may only be made by those board members who are not foreign nationals. If, at some future time, there are no US citizen (or permanent resident alien) board members then an executive officer of Extendicare (who is not a foreign national) would have to be granted this appointing authority. All such appointees to the Special Committee are required to be either US citizens or permanent resident aliens in the United States.

(4) Regardless of whether or not any members of the board of directors are U.S. citizens or permanent resident aliens residing in the United States, may the Special Committee be comprised solely of non-directors?

Yes, this is merely a matter of internal corporate policy and practice. As indicated above, the membership of the Special Committee may not include foreign nationals, and foreign nationals at the board level or otherwise may not appoint the members of the Special Committee.

J

(5) Must members of the Special Committee be appointed for a fixed term, to be replaced by the board only upon the expiration of such term or in the event of a vacancy created by death, departure from Extendicare, etc., or may the members of the Special Committee serve at the pleasure of the board?

Subject to the responses to questions (1) through (4) above, it would not matter whether the members of the Special Committee served for a fixed term or at the pleasure of the board. If desired, the Special Committee could be a self-perpetuating body, subject to the responses above.

(6) Must members of the PAC Committee be appointed for a fixed term, to be replaced by the Special Committee only upon the expiration of such term or in the event of a vacancy created by death, departure from Extendicare, etc., or may members of the PAC Committee serve at the pleasure of the Special Committee?

It makes no difference whether the PAC Committee members are appointed for a fixed term or may serve at the pleasure of the Special Committee. What matters is the foreign national status of any person or body that appoints these personnel and the appointees own status as either US citizens or permanent resident aliens in the United States. The Commission emphasizes that, in addition to complying with §441e, the appointees to the PAC Committee would also have to qualify as executive or administrative personnel of Extendicare or one of its affiliated corporations. 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(7), 11 CFR 114.1(c).4

(7) Must members of the PAC Committee be board-elected officers of Extendicare, or may non-board elected officers, or non-officer employees, be appointed by the Special Committee to the PAC Committee?

Otherwise eligible personnel within any of the stated personnel groups may be appointed to the PAC Committee. They must themselves be US citizens or permanent resident aliens in the United States and may be appointed only by personnel with that same status. See response to question six and footnote 4.

⁴ The Commission notes that if Extendicare forms an SSF, the contribution solicitation and other functions of the SSF (and Extendicare to support the SSF) shall comply with 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(1)--(7) and Commission regulations at 11 CFR Part 114. See, in particular, 11 CFR 114.5; see also Advisory Opinion 1999-28 which discusses the application of the cited SSF regulations in the same context as presented here, the PAC of a USA subsidiary of a foreign parent corporation.

1 Questions eight through ten generally pose the issue of the extent to which, if at all, the directors or officers of Extendicare who are foreign nationals may exercise control 2 and oversight authority over the PAC Committee (and the PAC) with respect to 3 personnel and financial matters. With respect to personnel, the request explains that 4 some members of the PAC Committee may be supervised by Extendicare officers and 5 executives who are foreign nationals and who would, in the normal course of their 6 supervisory functions, have authority to make performance evaluations, compensation 7 reviews and other similar personnel decisions pertaining to these PAC personnel. The 8 Extendicare job descriptions for such personnel would not specify duties for the PAC 9 Committee, but those appointed thereto would assume PAC responsibilities as a part of 10 their regular jobs. With respect to financial oversight, the request notes that personnel 11 who will have PAC Committee duties hold positions in corporate departments of 12 Extendicare whose budgets would be affected by the time and other corporate resources 13 attributable to their PAC functions. The board currently reviews and approves the 14 budgets for these departments and would propose to continue that function even if it 15 represents a form of control over the direct or indirect costs paid by Extendicare for the 16 17 establishment and administration of its PAC. The Commission responds to questions eight through ten, as summarized above, 18 by applying two discrete sections of its regulations. Namely, §114.5(d) allowing a 19 corporation to exercise control over its SSF, and §110.4(a)(3) barring any foreign national 20 from direction, control or participation in the decision-making process of any person, 21

such as a corporation or political committee with respect to the person's Federal election-22 related, such as decisions concerning the administration of a political committee,5 As was 23 indicated in the response to questions one through three, the Extendicare board may make 24 a general corporate policy decision to establish an SSF; it may similarly make a general 25 corporate policy decision to terminate an SSF whose establishment it previously 26 authorized. Those core decisions represent a permissible exercise of corporate control 27 over an SSF by any corporation, including one that, like Extendicare, is owned by a 28 foreign parent corporation or by other foreign nationals. 29

⁵ An SSF is one type of political committee under Commission regulations. 11 CFR 100.5(b).

25

26

27

28

29

30

1 Beyond this level of basic corporate control through its governing board, other decisions of Extendicare and its personnel relating to the PAC, including its formation 2 and operating policies, come within the purview of the foreign national prohibition as set 3 forth in §110.4(a)(3). In the context of the circumstances posed in this request, the dual 4 focus of the regulation is significant; it prohibits foreign national participation in the 5 decision-making process concerning a corporation's election-related activities (such as 6 the formation of a PAC) and concerning the administration of a PAC once established. 7 As already indicated in the responses above, this means that foreign national directors of 8 Extendicare must avoid any participation in the formation of the PAC beyond making the 9 10 basic decision at the corporate board level to authorize the PAC's establishment. Board members and other Extendicare officers or executives who are not foreign nationals must 11 be the only personnel group who take the PAC formation and operating policy 12 development and implementation processes to the next levels. For example, selecting 13 and giving PAC work assignments to personnel who will serve on the Special Committee 14 or PAC Committee must be made only by US citizens or individuals lawfully admitted 15 for permanent residence in the United States. If there is no board member who qualifies 16 as such a person, the board's decision must be limited to only a general delegation of 17 authority to one or more individuals at the officer or executive level, none of whom are 18 19 foreign nationals. Such persons would then proceed, without oversight or control by the board, with the selection of eligible and qualified personnel to serve on the Special 20 21 Committee or the PAC Committee. 22 With respect to the supervision by foreign nationals of other personnel (not foreign nationals) who have functions on the PAC Committee, the Commission 23 concludes that a reasonable approach should be followed that would avert the possibility 24

With respect to the supervision by foreign nationals of other personnel (not foreign nationals) who have functions on the PAC Committee, the Commission concludes that a reasonable approach should be followed that would avert the possibility of arbitrary actions, favorable or unfavorable, by a foreign national supervisor solely on the basis of a subordinate's performance of duties with respect to PAC Committee matters. One permissible policy would be to require that all performance evaluations and compensation reviews (and other similar personnel related actions), to the extent they entail consideration of the PAC functions of personnel who are subordinates of foreign nationals, be based exclusively on the input to the supervisor by the most senior person

- on the PAC Committee who has direct knowledge of the employee's performance of PAC
- 2 functions. Other approaches may also be reasonable. The Commission would not require
- 3 that a separate system of supervision and evaluation be established outside the normal
- 4 structure of personnel administration within Extendicare.
- With respect to the board's normal and usual power to review and approve
- 6 departmental budgets, the Commission recognizes that the "power of the purse" may
- 7 inevitably be asserted in a manner that could curtail, maintain or enhance the level of
- 8 corporate support for the PAC; either in terms of personnel using official "company time"
- 9 for PAC duties, covering direct or indirect administrative costs associated with PAC
- 10 operations, or providing use of corporate equipment and facilities for PAC matters.
- 11 (Such support must, of course, comply with 11 CFR 114.1(b) of Commission
- 12 regulations.) As indicated above, the Commission also recognizes that the board, having
- 13 itself authorized the formation of a PAC or authorized a Special Committee to determine
- whether it should establish a PAC, may also make a decision to terminate a PAC at any
- 15 time after its formation. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that a reasonable
- 16 approach, one which comports with the cited regulation barring foreign national
- 17 participation in decisions concerning the administration of a PAC, should be followed.
- 18 One reasonable approach would be for the board to approve budget levels for the direct
- 19 costs of PAC support merely as a formality, in reliance solely on financial data and other
- 20 information provided by the Special Committee or the PAC Committee. Another would
- 21 be to set a specific budget level for PAC direct costs at a "not to exceed" amount. The
- 22 board's review power (short of a decision to terminate the PAC entirely), should be
- 23 limited to ascertaining and enforcing compliance by the Special Committee or the PAC
- 24 Committee with the budget levels established by the board in accord with the above
- 25 described procedures. Other budget setting and review procedures for the direct costs of
- 26 PAC operations may also be reasonable if they assure compliance with Commission
- 27 regulations. With respect to the indirect costs to Extendicare for PAC operations, the
- 28 usual and normal corporate procedures for budget decisions and review may be followed
- 29 and would not be prohibited by Commission regulations.

AQ 2	000-17
Page	12

1	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the					
2	Act, or regulations prescribed by the Commission, to the specific transaction or activity					
3	set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. §437f.					
4	Sincerely,					
5						
6	Darryl R. Wold					
7	Chairman					
8						
9	Enclosures (AOs 1999-28, 1995-15, 1992-16, 1990-8, and 1989-29)					

			•
			1